

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/762,175	Applicant(s) HEEMANN ET AL.	
	Examiner Jeffrey C. Mullis	Art Unit 1711	

All Participants:

(1) Jeffrey C. Mullis.

(2) John Harrelson.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 18 July 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1 2

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicants attorney was contacted to change "either" in claim 1 to "one of" for clarity since more than two choices were involved. Applicants' attorney proposed that "either" be changed to "one or more".

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)